

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**  
8

9 Angelica M Loreto,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 Arizona Board of Regents, et al.,

13 Defendants.  
14

No. CV-22-00269-TUC-JAS (MSA)

**ORDER**

15 Pending before the Court is a Report and Recommendation issued by United States  
16 Magistrate Judge Aguilera that recommends denying Defendant Arizona Board of  
17 Regents' Motion for Summary Judgment. (Doc. 62; *see also* Doc. 57.) A review of the  
18 record reflects that the parties have not filed any objections to the Report and  
19 Recommendation and the time to file objections has expired. Therefore, the Court will not  
20 consider any objections or new evidence.

21 The Court has reviewed the record and concludes that Magistrate Judge Aguilera's  
22 recommendations are not clearly erroneous. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72;  
23 *Johnson v. Zema Sys. Corp.*, 170 F.3d 734, 739 (7th Cir. 1999); *Conley v. Crabtree*, 14 F.  
24 Supp. 2d 1203, 1204 (D. Or. 1998).

25 Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED:**


26 (1) Magistrate Judge Aguilera's Report and Recommendation (Doc. 62) is accepted  
27 and adopted.

28 (2) Defendant Arizona Board of Regents' Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. 57)

1 is denied. The Parties are directed to Magistrate Judge Aguilera's Report and  
2 Recommendation for a more detailed explanation.

3 (3) Consistent with Doc. 49, the parties shall file a Joint Proposed Pre-Trial Order  
4 within 30 days of this Order.

5  
6 Dated this 18th day of November, 2025.

7  
8   
9  
10 Honorable James A. Soto  
11 United States District Judge  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28